arXiv:math/0108039v1 [math.CV] 6 Aug 2001

THE CANONICAL SOLUTION OPERATOR TO $\overline{\partial}$ RESTRICTED TO BERGMAN SPACES AND SPACES OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

FRIEDRICH HASLINGER

Abstract. In this paper we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ restricted to radial symmetric Bergman spaces to be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. We also discuss compactness of the solution operator in spaces of entire functions in one variable. In the sequel we consider several examples and also treat the case of weighted spaces of entire functions in several variables.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider Bergman spaces $A^2(D, d\mu)$, where D is a disc in $\mathbb{C}$ and $d\mu(z) = m(z)d\lambda(z)$, m being a radial symmetric weight function. We also suppose that the monomials $\{z^n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ constitute an orthogonal basis in $A^2(D, d\mu)$.

Let

$$c_n^2 = \int_D |z|^{2n}\, d\mu(z).$$

We solve the $\overline{\partial}$-equation $\overline{\partial}u = g$, where $g \in A^2(D, d\mu)$.

It is pointed out in [FS1] that in the proof that compactness of the solution operator for $\overline{\partial}$ on $(0,1)$-forms implies that the boundary of Ω does not contain any analytic variety of dimension greater than or equal to 1, it is only used that there is a compact solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ on the $(0,1)$-forms with holomorphic coefficients. In this case compactness of the solution operator restricted to $(0,1)$-forms with holomorphic coefficients implies already compactness of the solution operator on general $(0,1)$-forms.

A similar situation appears in [SSU] where the Toeplitz C^* -algebra $\mathcal{T}(\Omega)$ is considered and the relation between the structure of $\mathcal{T}(\Omega)$ and the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann problem is discussed (see [SSU] , Corollary 4.6).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32W05; Secondary 30D15, 32A36.

Key words and phrases. $\overline{\partial}$-equation, Bergman kernel, Fock space.

In many cases non-compactness of the canonical solution operator already happens when the solution operator is restricted to the corresponding subspace of holomorphic functions (or $(0,1)$-forms with holomorphic coefficients, in the case of several variables.)(see [FS1] , [SSU] , [K2]). In this paper we will show that this phenomenon also occurs in the Fock space in one variable.

The question of compactness of the $\overline{\partial}$-Neumann operator is of interest for various reasons (see [FS1]).

We use the fact that the canonical solution operator S to $\overline{\partial}$ restricted to $(0,1)$-forms with holomorphic coefficients can be expressed by an integral operator using the Bergman kernel (see [Has2]):

$$S(g)(z) = \int_D K(z,w)\,(\overline{z}-\overline{w})\,g(w)\,d\mu(w),$$

where $g \in A^2(D,d\mu)$ and $K(z,w)$ is the Bergman kernel of $A^2(D,d\mu)$.

With the help of this result we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ restricted to $A^2(D,d\mu)$ to be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. This condition is expressed in terms of the sequence $(c_n)_n$ defined above.

It turns out that for $D = \mathbb{D}$ and $d\mu(z) = (1-|z|^2)^\alpha\,d\lambda(z)$ $\alpha \geq 0$ the canonical solution operator is always Hilbert Schmidt, for the case $\alpha = 0$ see [Has2] .

In the following part we discuss compactness of the canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ in spaces of entire functions in one complex variable. We show that the canonical solution operator for $\overline{\partial}$ as operator from $L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2})$ into itself is not compact. This follows from the result that the canonical solution operator for $\overline{\partial}$ restricted to weighted space of entire functions $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2})$ (Fock space) into $L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2})$ already fails to be compact. Further it is shown that the restriction to $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$, $m > 2$, is compact but not Hilbert Schmidt.

In the sequel we also consider the case of several complex variables in a slightly different situation and show that the canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator for a wide class of weighted spaces of entire functions using various methods from abstract functional analysis (see [MV]).

The canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ restricted to $(0,1)$-forms with holomorphic coefficients can also be interpreted as the Hankel operator

$$H_{\overline{z}}(g) = (I-P)(\overline{z}g),$$

where $P : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow A^2(\Omega)$ denotes the Bergman projection. See [A], [AFP], [J], [W] and [Z] for details.

2. RADIAL SYMMETRIC BERGMAN SPACES

The canonical solution operator has the properties $\overline{\partial} S(g) = g$ and $S(g) \perp A^2(D, d\mu)$.

Theorem 1. *The canonical solution operator*

$$S : A^2(D, d\mu) \longrightarrow L^2(D, d\mu)$$

is a Hilbert Schmidt operator if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{c_{n+1}^2}{c_n^2} < \infty,$$

where

$$c_n^2 = \int_D |z|^{2n} \, d\mu(z)$$

.

Proof. By [MV] , 16.8, we have to show that there exists a complete orthonormal system $(u_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of $A^2(D, d\mu)$ such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|S(u_n)\|^2 < \infty.$$

For this purpose we take the complete orthonormal system $u_k(z) = z^k / c_k$, then the Bergman kernel $K(z,w)$ can be expressed in the form

$$K(z,w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k \overline{w}^k}{c_k^2}.$$

From [Has2] we know that

$$S(u_n)(z) = \overline{z} u_n(z) - \int_D K(z,w) \overline{w} \, u_n(w) \, d\mu(w).$$

First we calculate the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_D K(z,w)\overline{w} \, u_n(w) \, d\mu(w) &= \int_D \overline{w} \, \frac{w^n}{c_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k \overline{w}^k}{c_k^2} \, d\mu(w) \\
&= \frac{1}{c_n} \int_D w^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k \overline{w}^{k+1}}{c_k^2} \, d\mu(w) \\
&= \frac{z^{n-1}}{c_n c_{n-1}^2} \int_D |w|^{2n} \, d\mu(w) \\
&= \frac{c_n z^{n-1}}{c_{n-1}^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that the series expansion of the Bergman kernel converges uniformly on compact subsets (see [K1]).

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S(u_n)\|^2 &= \frac{1}{c_n^2}\int_D \left|\overline{z}\, z^n - \frac{c_n^2}{c_{n-1}^2}\, z^{n-1}\right|^2 d\mu(z) \\
&= \frac{1}{c_n^2}\int_D |z|^{2n-2}\left(|z|^4 - \frac{2c_n^2|z|^2}{c_{n-1}^2} + \frac{c_n^4}{c_{n-1}^4}\right) d\mu(z) \\
&= \frac{1}{c_n^2}\int_D |z|^{2n+2}\, d\mu(z) - \frac{2}{c_{n-1}^2}\int_D |z|^{2n}\, d\mu(z) + \frac{c_n^2}{c_{n-1}^4}\int_D |z|^{2n-2}\, d\mu(z) \\
&= \frac{c_{n+1}^2}{c_n^2} - \frac{c_n^2}{c_{n-1}^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|S(u_n)\|^2 < \infty$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{c_{n+1}^2}{c_n^2} < \infty.$$

□

Example. First we consider the case where D is the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and $d\mu(z) = (1-|z|^2)^\alpha\, d\lambda(z),\ \alpha \geq 0$. Here

$$c_n^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2n}\,(1-|z|^2)^\alpha\, d\lambda(z) = \frac{\pi\, n!}{(\alpha+n+1)(\alpha+n)\dots(\alpha+1)},$$

hence

$$\frac{c_{n+1}^2}{c_n^2} = \frac{n+1}{\alpha+n+2},$$

which implies by Theorem 2.1 that the corresponding canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ is always Hilbert Schmidt.

Example. We now consider the case of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^2$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ and the weight

$$d\mu(z) = (1-|z_1|^2-|z_2|^2)^\alpha\, d\lambda(z)\ ,\ \alpha \geq 0.$$

Set

$$c_{n_1,n_2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{B}^2} |z_1|^{2n_1}\,|z_2|^{2n_2}\,(1-|z_1|^2-|z_2|^2)^\alpha\, d\lambda(z).$$

Using polar coordinates we get

$$c_{n_1,n_2}^2 = 4\pi^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{(1-r_2)^{1/2}} r_1^{2n_1+1}\, r_2^{2n_2+1}\,(1-r_1^2-r_2^2)^\alpha\, dr_1\, dr_2.$$

Now we substitute $s_1 = 1 - r_1^2 - r_2^2$, $s_2 = 1 - r_2^2$ and use properties of the beta-function (see [K1])

$$\begin{aligned} c^2_{n_1,n_2} &= \pi^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{s_2} (s_2 - s_1)^{n_1} (1 - s_2)^{n_2} s_1^\alpha \, ds_1 \, ds_2 \\ &= \pi^2 B(n_1 + 1, \alpha + 1) \int_0^1 (1 - s_2)^{n_2} s_2^{\alpha+n_1+1} \, ds_2 \\ &= \pi^2 B(n_1 + 1, \alpha + 1) \, B(n_2 + 1, \alpha + n_1 + 2) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2 \, n_1! \, n_2!}{(\alpha + n_1 + n_2 + 2)(\alpha + n_1 + n_2 + 1) \ldots (\alpha + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

The Bergman kernel has the form

$$\begin{aligned} K_\alpha(z, w) &= \frac{\alpha + 1}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{(1 - z_1 \overline{w}_1 - z_2 \overline{w}_2)^{\alpha+3}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha + n_1 + n_2 + 2) \ldots (\alpha + 1)}{n_1! \, n_2!} z_1^{n_1} \, z_2^{n_2} \, \overline{w}_1^{n_1} \, \overline{w}_2^{n_2} \end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$u_{n_1,n_2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{z_1^{n_1} \, z_2^{n_2}}{c_{n_1,n_2}},$$

then the system

$$\{u_{n_1,n_2}(z_1, z_2) \, d\overline{z}_1 \ , \ u_{n_1,n_2}(z_1, z_2) \, d\overline{z}_2 \ : \ n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

constitutes an orthonormal basis for the space $A^2_{(0,1)}(\mathbb{B}^2, d\mu)$ of $(0, 1)$-forms with coefficenits belonging to $A^2(\mathbb{B}^2, d\mu)$.

Using the methods of Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(u_{n_1,n_2} d\overline{z}_1)\|^2 &= \frac{c^2_{n_1+1,n_2}}{c^2_{n_1,n_2}} - \frac{c^2_{n_1,n_2}}{c^2_{n_1-1,n_2}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha + n_2 + 2}{(\alpha + n_1 + n_2 + 3)(\alpha + n_1 + n_2 + 2)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(u_{n_1,n_2} d\overline{z}_2)\|^2 &= \frac{c^2_{n_1,n_2+1}}{c^2_{n_1,n_2}} - \frac{c^2_{n_1,n_2}}{c^2_{n_1,n_2-1}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha + n_1 + 2}{(\alpha + n_1 + n_2 + 3)(\alpha + n_1 + n_2 + 2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{n_1,n_2=1}^{\infty} [\frac{\alpha + n_2 + 2}{(\alpha + n_1 + n_2 + 2)(\alpha + n_1 + n_2 + 3)}$$

$$+\frac{\alpha+n_1+2}{(\alpha+n_1+n_2+2)(\alpha+n_1+n_2+3)}] = \infty,$$

the canonical solution operator

$$S : A^2_{(0,1)}(\mathbb{B}^2, d\mu) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{B}^2, d\mu)$$

fails to be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. (For the case $\alpha = 0$ see [Has2]).

3. SPACES OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS IN ONE VARIABLE

Now we consider weighted spaces on entire functions

$$A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m}) = \{f : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \ : \ \|f\|_m^2 := \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^2 \, e^{-|z|^m} \, d\lambda(z) < \infty\},$$

where $m > 0$. Let again

$$c_k^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{2k} \, e^{-|z|^m} \, d\lambda(z).$$

Then

$$K_m(z,w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k \overline{w}^k}{c_k^2}$$

is the reproducing kernel for $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$.

In the sequel the expression

$$\frac{c_{k+1}^2}{c_k^2} - \frac{c_k^2}{c_{k-1}^2}$$

will become important. Using the integral representation of the $\Gamma-$function one easily sees that the above expression is equal to

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2k+4}{m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2k+2}{m}\right)} - \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2k+2}{m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2k}{m}\right)}.$$

For $m = 2$ this expression equals to 1 for each $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. We will be interested in the limit behavior for $k \to \infty$. By Stirlings formula the limit behavior is equivalent to the limit behavior of the expression

$$\left(\frac{2k+2}{m}\right)^{2/m} - \left(\frac{2k}{m}\right)^{2/m},$$

as $k \to \infty$. Hence we have shown the following

Lemma 1. *The expression*

$$\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2k+4}{m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2k+2}{m}\right)} - \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2k+2}{m}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2k}{m}\right)}$$

tends to ∞ *for* $0 < m < 2$*, is equal to* 1 *for* $m = 2$ *and tends to zero for* $m > 2$ *as* k *tends to* ∞.

Let $0 < \rho < 1$, define $f_\rho(z) := f(\rho z)$ and $\tilde{f}_\rho(z) = \overline{z} f_\rho(z)$, for $f \in A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$. Then it is easily seen that $\tilde{f}_\rho \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$, but there are functions $g \in A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$ such that $\overline{z} g \notin L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$.

Let $P_m : L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m}) \longrightarrow A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$ denote the orthogonal projection. Then P_m can be written in the form

$$P_m(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} K_m(z,w) f(w)\, d\lambda(w)\ ,\ f \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m}).$$

Proposition 1. *Let* $m \geq 2$*. Then there is a constant* $C_m > 0$ *depending only on* m *such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| \tilde{f}_\rho(z) - P_m(\tilde{f}_\rho)(z) \right|^2 e^{-|z|^m}\, d\lambda(z) \leq C_m \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^m}\, d\lambda(z),$$

for each $0 < \rho < 1$ *and for each* $f \in A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$.

Proof. First we observe that for the Taylor expansion of $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_m(\tilde{f}_\rho)(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{z^k \overline{w}^k}{c_k^2} \left(\overline{w} \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j \rho^j w^j \right) e^{-|w|^m}\, d\lambda(w) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k \frac{c_k^2}{c_{k-1}^2} \rho^k z^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| \tilde{f}_\rho(z) - P_m(\tilde{f}_\rho)(z) \right|^2 e^{-|z|^m}\, d\lambda(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\overline{z} \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k \rho^k z^k - \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k \frac{c_k^2}{c_{k-1}^2} \rho^k z^{k-1} \right) \\ &\times \left(z \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k \rho^k \overline{z}^k - \sum_{k=1}^\infty \overline{a_k} \frac{c_k^2}{c_{k-1}^2} \rho^k \overline{z}^{k-1} \right) e^{-|z|^m}\, d\lambda(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} (\sum_{k=0}^\infty |a_k|^2 \rho^{2k} |z|^{2k+2} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_k|^2 \frac{c_k^2}{c_{k-1}^2} \rho^{2k} |z|^{2k} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_k|^2 \frac{c_k^4}{c_{k-1}^4} \rho^{2k} |z|^{2k-2})\ e^{-|z|^m}\, d\lambda(z) \\ &= |a_0|^2\, c_1^2 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_k|^2\, c_k^2\, \rho^{2k} \left(\frac{c_{k+1}^2}{c_k^2} - \frac{c_k^2}{c_{k-1}^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now the result follows from the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^m}\, d\lambda(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2\, c_k^2,$$

and that the sequence $\left(\frac{c_{k+1}^2}{c_k^2} - \frac{c_k^2}{c_{k-1}^2}\right)_k$ is bounded. $\square$

By Fatou's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{C}} \lim_{\rho\to 1} \left| \tilde{f}_\rho(z) - P_m(\tilde{f}_\rho)(z)\right|^2 e^{-|z|^m}\, d\lambda(z) \\ \leq \; & \sup_{0<\rho<1} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| \tilde{f}_\rho(z) - P_m(\tilde{f}_\rho)(z)\right|^2 e^{-|z|^m}\, d\lambda(z) \\ \leq \; & C_m \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^m}\, d\lambda(z) \end{aligned}$$

and hence the function

$$F(z) := \overline{z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \frac{c_k^2}{c_{k-1}^2}\, z^{k-1}$$

belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$ and satiafies

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |F(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^m}\, d\lambda(z) \leq C_m \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^m}\, d\lambda(z).$$

The above computation also shows that $\lim_{\rho\to 1} \|\tilde{f}_\rho - P_m(\tilde{f}_\rho)\|_m = \|F\|_m$ and by a standard argument for L^p-spaces (see for instance [E])

$$\lim_{\rho\to 1} \|\tilde{f}_\rho - P_m(\tilde{f}_\rho) - F\|_m = 0.$$

Proposition 2. *Let $m \geq 2$ and consider an entire function $f \in A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$ with Taylor series expansion $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$. Let*

$$F(z) := \overline{z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \frac{c_k^2}{c_{k-1}^2}\, z^{k-1}$$

and define $S_m(f) := F$. Then $S_m : A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m}) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$ is a continuous linear operator, representing the canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ restricted to $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$, i.e. $\overline{\partial} S_m(f) = f$ and $S_m(f) \perp A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$.

Proof. A similar computation as in the proof of Proposition 1 in [Has2] shows that the function F defined above satisfies $\overline{\partial} F = f$. Let $S_m(f) := F$. Then, by the last remarks, $S_m : A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m}) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$ is a continuous linear solution operator for $\overline{\partial}$. For arbitrary $h \in A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$ we have

$$(h, S_m(f))_m = (h, F)_m = \lim_{\rho \to 1}(h, \tilde{f}_\rho - P_m(\tilde{f}_\rho))_m = \lim_{\rho \to 1}(h - P_m(h), \tilde{f}_\rho)_m = 0,$$

where $(.\,,.)_m$ denotes the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$. Hence S_m is the canonical solution operator for $\overline{\partial}$ restricted to $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$. $\square$

Theorem 2. *The canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ restricted to the space $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$ is compact if and only if*

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\frac{c_{k+1}^2}{c_k^2} - \frac{c_k^2}{c_{k-1}^2} \right) = 0.$$

Proof. For a complex polynomial p the canonical solution operator S_m can be written in the form

$$S_m(p)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} K_m(z,w) p(w) (\overline{z} - \overline{w}) \, d\lambda(w),$$

therefore we can express the conjugate S_m^* in the form

$$S_m^*(q)(w) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} K_m(w,z) q(z) (z - w) \, d\lambda(z),$$

if q is a finite linear combination of the terms $\overline{z}^k \, z^l$. This follows by considering the inner product $(S_m(p), q)_m = (p, S_m^*(q))_m$.

Now we claim that

$$S_m^* S_m(u_n)(w) = \left(\frac{c_{n+1}^2}{c_n^2} - \frac{c_n^2}{c_{n-1}^2} \right) u_n(w) \quad , n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

and

$$S_m^* S_m(u_0)(w) = \frac{c_1^2}{c_0^2} \, u_0(w),$$

where $\{u_n(z) = z^n / c_n, k = 0, 1, \ldots\}$ is the standard orthnormal basis of $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$.

From the proof of Theorem 2.1 we know that

$$S_m(u_n)(z) = \overline{z} u_n(z) - \frac{c_n z^{n-1}}{c_{n-1}^2}, \ n = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} S_m^* S_m(u_n)(w) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} K_m(w,z)(z - w) \left(\frac{\overline{z} z^n}{c_n} - \frac{c_n z^{n-1}}{c_{n-1}^2} \right) d\lambda(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k \overline{z}^k}{c_k^2} (z - w) \left(\frac{\overline{z} z^n}{c_n} - \frac{c_n z^{n-1}}{c_{n-1}^2} \right) d\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

This integral is computed in two steps: first the multiplication by z

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k \overline{z}^k}{c_k^2} \left(\frac{\overline{z} z^{n+1}}{c_n} - \frac{c_n z^n}{c_{n-1}^2} \right) \, d\lambda(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{z^{n+1}}{c_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k \overline{z}^{k+1}}{c_k^2} \, d\lambda(z) - \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1}^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} z^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k \overline{z}^k}{c_k^2} \, d\lambda(z) \\
&= \frac{w^n}{c_n^3} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{2n+2} \, d\lambda(z) - \frac{w^n}{c_{n-1}^2 c_n^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{2n} \, d\lambda(z) \\
&= \left(\frac{c_{n+1}^2}{c_n^3} - \frac{c_n}{c_{n-1}^2} \right) \, w^n
\end{aligned}$$

And now the multiplication by w

$$w \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k \overline{z}^k}{c_k^2} \left(\frac{\overline{z} z^n}{c_n} - \frac{c_n z^{n-1}}{c_{n-1}^2} \right) \, d\lambda(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= w \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{z^n}{c_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k \overline{z}^{k+1}}{c_k^2} \, d\lambda(z) - w \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{c_n z^{n-1}}{c_{n-1}^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k \overline{z}^k}{c_k^2} \, d\lambda(z) \\
&= w \left(\frac{c_n w^{n-1}}{c_{n-1}^2} - \frac{c_n w^{n-1}}{c_{n-1}^2} \right) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$S_m^* S_m(u_n)(w) = \left(\frac{c_{n+1}^2}{c_n^2} - \frac{c_n^2}{c_{n-1}^2} \right) u_n(w) \quad , n = 1, 2, \ldots ,$$

the case $n = 0$ follows from an analogous computation.

The last statement says that $S_m^* S_m$ is a diagonal operator with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{u_n(z) = z^n / c_n\}$ of $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$. Therefore it is easily seen that $S_m^* S_m$ is compact if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{c_{n+1}^2}{c_n^2} - \frac{c_n^2}{c_{n-1}^2} \right) = 0.$$

Now the conclusion follows, since $S_m^* S_m$ is compact if and only if S is compact (see for instance [Wei]). □

Theorem 3. *The canonical solution operator for $\overline{\partial}$ restricted to the space $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$ is compact, if $m > 2$. The canonical solution operator for $\overline{\partial}$ as operator from $L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2})$ into itself is not compact.*

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.1. For the second statement we use Hörmander's L^2-estimate for the solution of the $\overline{\partial}$ equation [H] : for each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2})$ there is a function $u \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2})$ such that $\overline{\partial} u = f$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |u(z)|^2 \, e^{-|z|^2} \, d\lambda(z) \leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^2 \, e^{-|z|^2} \, d\lambda(z).$$

Hence the canonical solution operator for $\overline{\partial}$ as operator from $L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2})$ into itself is continuous and its restriction to the closed subspace $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2})$ fails to be compact by Propositon 3.2 and Lemma 3.1. By the definition of compactness this implies that the canonical solution operator is not compact as operator from $L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2})$ into itself. $\square$

Remark. In the case of the Fock space $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2})$ the compostion $S_2^* S_2$ equals to the identity on $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2})$, which follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4. *Let $m \geq 2$. The canonical solution operator for $\overline{\partial}$ restricted to $A^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^m})$ fails to be Hilbert Schmidt.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.2 we know that the canonical solution operator is continuous and we can apply the method from Theorem 2.1 : now in our case we have

$$\frac{c_{n+1}^2}{c_n^2} = \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+4}{m}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{2n+2}{m}\right),$$

which, by Theorem 2.1 and Stirling's formula, implies that the corresponding canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ fails to be Hilbert Schmidt. $\square$

In the case of several variables the corresponding operator $S^* S$ is more complicated, nevertheless we can handle a sligthly different situation with different methods from functional analysis (see next section).

4. WEIGHTED SPACES OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

In this part we show that the canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator for a wide class of weighted spaces of entire functions.

The weight functions we are considering are of the form $z \mapsto \tau p(z)$, where $\tau > 0$ and $p : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We suppose that p is a plurisubharmonic function satisfying

$$p^*(w) := \sup\{\Re < z, w > -p(z) \ : \ z \in \mathbb{C}^n\} < \infty.$$

Then $p^{**} = p$ and

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \frac{p(z)}{|z|} = \infty$$

(see Lemma 1.1. in [Has1]). And it is easily seen that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \exp[(\tau - \sigma)\, p(z)]\, d\lambda(z) < \infty,$$

whenever $\tau - \sigma < 0$.

We further assume that

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \frac{\tilde{p}(z)}{p(z)} = 1,$$

where $\tilde{p}(z) = \sup\{p(z+\zeta) \, : \, |\zeta| \leq 1\}$.

It follows that the last property is equivalent to the following condition: for each $\sigma > 0$ and for each $\tau > 0$ with $\tau < \sigma$ there is a constant $C = C(\sigma, \tau) > 0$ such that

$$\tau\, \tilde{p}(z) - \sigma\, p(z) \leq C,$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $A^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \sigma p)$ denote the Hilbert space of all entire functions $h : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |h(z)|^2 \exp(-2\sigma p(z))\, d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

Theorem 5. *Suppose that p is a weight function with the properties listed above. Then for each $\sigma > 0$ there exists a number $\tau > 0$ with $\tau < \sigma$ such that the canonical solution operator S_1 to $\overline{\partial}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator as a mapping*

$$S_1 : A^2_{(0,1)}(\mathbb{C}^n, \tau p) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \sigma p).$$

Proof. By Lemma 28.2 from [MV] we have to show that

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |S_1(f)(z)|^2 \exp(-2\sigma p(z))\, d\lambda(z) \right]^{1/2} \leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} |(f,g)|\, d\mu(g),$$

where $(.,.)$ denotes the inner product of the Hilbert space $A^2_{(0,1)}(\mathbb{C}^n, \tau p)$, $\mathcal{U}$ is the unit ball of $A^2_{(0,1)}(\mathbb{C}^n, \tau p)$, μ is a Radon measure on the weakly compact set $\mathcal{U}$ and $f = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j\, d\overline{z}_j$ and $g = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j\, d\overline{z}_j$.

We first show that for $0 < \tau < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \tau_3 < \sigma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f_j(z)|^2 \exp(-2\tau_3 p(z))\, d\lambda(z)\right]^{1/2} \\
&\quad \le \; C_{\tau_3,\tau_2} \sup\{|f_j(z)| \exp(-\tau_2 p(z)) \,:\, z \in \mathbb{C}^n\} \\
&\quad \le \; C_{\tau_2,\tau_1} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f_j(z)| \exp(-\tau_1 p(z))\, d\lambda(z) \\
&\quad \le \; C_{\tau_1,\tau} \left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f_j(z)|^2 \exp(-2\tau p(z))\, d\lambda(z)\right]^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

for each $f \in A^2_{(0,1)}(\mathbb{C}^n, \tau p)$.

To show this assertion we make use of the assumption that the coefficients of the $(0,1)$-form f are entire functions:

The first inequality follows from the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \exp((2\tau_2 - 2\tau_3)p(z))\, d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

For the second inequality use Cauchy's theorem for the coefficients f_j of f to show that for $B_z = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n \,:\, |z - \zeta| \le 1\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|f_j(z)| \le C \int_{B_z} |f_j(\zeta)|\, d\lambda(\zeta) \\
&\quad = \; C \int_{B_z} |f_j(\zeta)| \exp(-\tau_1 p(\zeta)) \exp(\tau_1 p(\zeta))\, d\lambda(\zeta) \\
&\quad \le \; C \int_{B_z} |f_j(\zeta)| \exp(-\tau_1 p(\zeta))\, d\lambda(\zeta) \; \sup\{\exp(\tau_1 p(\zeta)) \,:\, \zeta \in B_z\} \\
&\quad \le \; C' \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f_j(\zeta)| \exp(-\tau_1 p(\zeta))\, d\lambda(\zeta) \; \exp(\tau_2 p(z)),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the properties of the weight function p.

The third inequality is a consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f_j(\zeta)| \exp(-\tau_1 p(\zeta))\, d\lambda(\zeta) \\
&\quad = \; \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f_j(\zeta)| \exp(-\tau p(\zeta)) \exp((\tau - \tau_1)p(\zeta))\, d\lambda(\zeta) \\
&\quad \le \; \left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f_j(\zeta)|^2 \exp(-2\tau p(\zeta))\, d\lambda(\zeta)\right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \exp((2\tau - 2\tau_1)p(\zeta))\, d\lambda(\zeta)\right]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Hörmander's L^2-estimates ([H], Theorem 4.4.2]) we have for $\tau < \tau_3 < \sigma$ and the properties of the weight function

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |S_1(f)(z)|^2 \exp(-2\sigma p(z))\, d\lambda(z) \\ &\quad \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |S_1(f)(z)|^2 \exp(-2\tau_3 p(z))\, (1+|z|^2)^{-2}\, d\lambda(z) \\ &\quad \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|^2 \exp(-2\tau_3 p(z))\, d\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that the canonical solution operator S_1 can be written in the form $S_1(f) = v - P(v)$, where v is an arbitrary solution to $\overline{\partial} u = f$ belonging to the corresponding Hilbert space and that $\|S_1(f)\| = \|v - P(v)\| = \min\{\|v-h\| : h \in A^2\} \leq \|v\|$.

Now choose τ_2 such that $\tau < \tau_2 < \tau_3 < \sigma$, then we obtain from the above inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |S_1(f)(z)|^2 \exp(-2\sigma p(z))\, d\lambda(z)\right]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \leq D \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|_1 \exp(-\tau_2 p(z))\, d\lambda(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $D > 0$ is a constant and $|f(z)|_1 := |f_1(z)| + \cdots + |f_n(z)|$.

Now define for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\tau < \tau_1 < \tau_2$

$$\delta_z^{\tau_1,\tau}(f_j) := C_{\tau_1,\tau}^{-1}\, f_j(z) \exp(-\tau_1 p(z)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &C_{\tau_1,\tau}^{-1} \sup\{|f_j(z)| \exp(-\tau_1 p(z)) \, : \, z \in \mathbb{C}^n\} \\ &\quad \leq \left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f_j(z)|^2 \exp(-2\tau p(z))\, d\lambda(z)\right]^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which, by the Riesz representation theorem for the Hilbert space $A^2_{(0,1)}(\mathbb{C}^n, \tau p)$, means that each $\delta_z^{\tau_1,\tau}$ can be viewed as an element of $\mathcal{U}$.

For $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{U})$ the expression

$$\mu(\phi) = D \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \phi(\delta_z^{\tau_1,\tau}) \exp((\tau_1 - \tau_2) p(z))\, d\lambda(z)$$

defines a Radon measure on the weakly compact set $\mathcal{U}$.

This follows from the fact that

$$\mu(\phi) \leq D \sup\{|\phi(g)| \, : \, g \in \mathcal{U}\} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \exp((\tau_1 - \tau_2) p(z))\, d\lambda(z).$$

Now take for ϕ the continous functions $\phi_j(g_j) = |(f_j, g_j)|$, where f_j is fixed. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{U}} |(f,g)|\, d\mu(g) \\
&\quad = \; D \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|_1 \, \exp(-\tau_1 p(z)) \, \exp((\tau_1 - \tau_2)p(z)) \, d\lambda(z) \\
&\quad = \; D \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|_1 \, \exp(-\tau_2 p(z)) \, d\lambda(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$\left[\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |S_1(f)(z)|^2 \, \exp(-2\sigma p(z)) \, d\lambda(z) \right]^{1/2} \leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} |(f,g)| \, d\mu(g).$$

$\square$

References

[A] S. Axler, The Bergman space, the Bloch space, and commutators of multiplication operators, *Duke Math. J.***53** (1986), 315–332.

[AFP] J. Arazy, S. Fisher and J. Peetre, Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces, *Amer. J. of Math.* **110** (1988), 989–1054.

[E] J. Elstrodt,“Maß - und Integrationstheorie,” Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[FS1] S. Fu and E.J. Straube, Compactness of the $\overline{\partial}-$Neumann problem on convex domains, *J. of Functional Analysis* **159** (1998), 629–641.

[FS1] S. Fu and E.J. Straube, Compactness in the $\overline{\partial}-$Neumann problem, preprint 1999.

[Has1] F. Haslinger, Weighted spaces of entire functions, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.***35**(1986), 193–208.

[Has2] F.Haslinger, The canonical solution operator to $\overline{\partial}$ restricted to Bergman spaces, *Proc. Amer.Math. Soc.*, to appear.

[H] L. Hörmander, “An introduction to complex analysis in several variables,” North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1990 (3rd edition).

[J] S. Janson, Hankel operators between weighted Bergman spaces, *Ark. Mat.* **26** (1988), 205–219.

[K1] St. Krantz,“ Function theory of several complex variables,” Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, 1992 (2nd edition).

[K2] St. Krantz, Compactness of the $\overline{\partial}-$Neumann operator, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103**(1988), 1136–1138.

[MV] R. Meise und D. Vogt, “ Einführung in die Funktionalanalysis,” Vieweg Studium **62**, Vieweg-Verlag, 1992.

[SSU] N. Salinas, A. Sheu and H. Upmeier, Toeplitz operators on pseudoconvex domains and foliation C^*- algebras, *Ann. of Math.* **130** (1989), 531–565.

[W] R. Wallsten, Hankel operators between weighted Bergman spaces in the ball, *Ark. Mat.* **28** (1990), 183–192.

[Wei] J. Weidmann, “Lineare Operatoren in Hilberträumen,” B.G. Teubner Stuttgart, Leipzig, Wiesbaden, 2000.

[Z] K.H. Zhu, Hilbert-Schmidt Hankel operators on the Bergman space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1990), 721–730.

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Wien, Strudlhofgasse 4, A-1090 Wien, Austria, e-mail: friedrich.haslinger@univie.ac.at